Form 1: Preparation

Part A must be completed at the beginning of a Policy/function/strategy development or review, and for every such occurrence. (Refer to the Step-by-Step Guide for additional information).

Step 1	- Preparation	
1.	Title of Policy - what are you equality impact assessing?	Practice Guidelines for Health Care Professionals Overseeing Patient INR Self-Testing Services
2.	Policy Aims and Brief Description - what are its aims? Give a brief description of the Policy (The What, Why and How?)	The main aims and objectives of the Policy are as follows: This practice guidance has been prepared to support the Healthcare Professional (HCP) overseeing the management of those patients, in whom it has been decided for clinical reasons that self-testing is appropriate. The document provides training and competency for patient International Normalised Ratio (INR) self-testing using a Cardiff and Vale UHB approved device, the Roche Coaguchek meter. Self-testing refers to a model of care in which a patient prescribed Warfarin medication measures their own International Normalised Ratio (INR) at home, but has to contact Healthcare Professionals for interpretation and dose adjustment. The patient must also have undertaken a training session on how to use the meter, which is overseen by the HCP, prior to commencing self-testing. Self-testing may be suitable for those patients who are in employment or education, frequently away from home or who find it difficult to access clinics.
3.	Who Owns/Defines the Policy? - who is responsible for the Policy/work?	 POCT Group POCT Lead and POCT Manager Primary Care Pharmacy Haematology Clinical Lead

Step	1 - Preparation	
4.	Who is Involved in undertaking this EqIA? - who are the key contributors to the EqIA and what are their roles in the process?	The EqIA was undertaken by the UHB POCT Manager, who is responsible for implementing POCT Services across C&V UHB.
5.	Other Policies - Describe where this Policy/work fits in a wider context. Is it related to any other policies/activities that could be included in this EqIA?	Point-of-Care Testing Policy It is also supported by Health and Safety Policies and specific training procedures.
6.	Stakeholders - Who is involved with or affected by this Policy?	 This policy will affect specific UHB clinical and nursing staff delivery the Service Appropriate patients Haematology Laboratory Independent Contractors/ GPs Community Pharmacy Inpatient Pharmacy
7.	What factors may contribute to the outcomes of the Policy? What factors may detract from the outcomes? These could be internal or external factors.	Contributory factors include; • Adequate and relevant Risk Assessments • Competency-assessed Staff trained • Advice from advisors/ experts and trainers • Staff actively reporting incidents • Effective communication • Required financial resources and equipment • Suitable and Safe working environment The outcome of the Policy can be affected detrimentally by any of the above not being in place.

Form 2: Evidence Gathering

Equality		Does									gard to
Strand Race	NICE publishes draft guidance on self-monitoring tests for people on long-		tl ✓	nis F	olicy ✓	//wor	k? Ti	ck as a	<mark>ppropr</mark> ✓	ate.	
	term anticoagulation therapy The Self Care NHS Trust- the future for NHS Choices-14/01/14 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence – Diagnostic Assessment Programme –Equality impact assessment- scoping.					Promoting		m		Take ac	
Disability	Int. J Cardiol.2005 Mar 10;99(1): 37-45 Guidelines for implantation of patient self-testing and patient self-management of oral anticoagulation. PMID: 15721497		✓	Promoting	✓		✓	Encouraging	✓	some	✓
Gender	www.anticoagulation -advisor.com		✓		<	Good Rela	✓		✓	account of difference even some individuals more	
Sexual Orientation	Warfarin Therapy: Tips and tools for better control J Fam Pract.2011 Feb;60(02): 70-75		✓	Equality of	✓	Relations and	✓	participation in	✓	nce even als more	
Age	Anticoagulation Forum- 617.454.1004 Report of The Council on Science and Public Health-CSAPH Rep-A-07.		✓	of Opportunity	✓	nd Positive	✓	n in Public	✓	n if it involves e favourably*	
Religion or Belief	Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust- Procedure for Near Patient Testing of INR for Adult Patients/ Clients in the Community Setting by Qualified and Non Qualified Clinical Staff- 17/03/11 Pol. No. NP077		1	unity	✓	ve Attitudes	✓	olic Life	√	* %	
Welsh Language	Welsh language scheme in UHB Dydd Iau, Chwefror 27, 2014- Mongomeryshire MP calls for self- monitoring devices on prescription for warfarin patients WeMeRec-March 2007- Monitoring Medicines Resource Centre.		✓		>	ides	✓		✓	treating	
liberty; to a fai to freedom of t	human right to: life; not to be tortured or treated in a degrader trial; not to be punished without legal authority; to respection to the conscience and religion; to freedom of expression ed against in relation to any of the rights contained in the E	t for p	rivate f ass	e and emb	d fam ly; to	ily lif mar	e, ho	me and	corres	ponde	ence;
Human Rights	Cardiff and Vale UHB is committed to ensuring that, as far as is reasonably treat our staff reflects their individual needs and does not discriminate again Health, Capacity and respect of individuals, including the right to privacy an intimidating manner).	nst indiv	iduals	or gro	ups. T	his G	uidance	takes in	to accou	nt Ment	al

^{*} This column relates only to Disability due to the specific requirement in the DDA 2005 to treat disabled people more favourably to achieve equal outcomes. This is not applicable to the other equality strands.

Form 3: Assessment of Relevance and Priority

Equality Strand	Evidence: Existing evidence to suggest some groups affected. Gathered from Step 2. (See Scoring Chart A)	Potential Impact: Nature, profile, scale, cost, numbers affected, significance. Insert one overall score (See Scoring Chart B)	Decision: Multiply 'evidence' score by 'potential impact' score. (See Scoring Chart C)
Race	1	0	0
Disability	1	0	0
Gender	1	0	0
Sexual Orientation	1	0	0
Age	1	0	0
Religion or Belief	1	0	0
Welsh Language	1	0	0
Human Rights	1	0	0

Scoring Chart A: Evidence Available

3	Existing data/research
2	Anecdotal/awareness data only
1	No evidence or suggestion

Scoring Chart B: Potential Impact

-3	High negative
-2	Medium negative
-1	Low negative
0	No impact
+1	Low positive
+2	Medium positive
+3	High positive

Scoring Chart C: Impact Decision

-6 to -9	High Impact (H)
-3 to -5	Medium Impact (M)
-1 to -2	Low Impact (L)
0	No Impact (N)
1 to 9	Positive Impact (P)

FORM 4: (Part A) Outcome Report

Policy Title:	Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (UHB) Safe Working with Electricity Policy
Organisation:	Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (UHB)
Name:	Seetal Sall
Title:	POCT Manager
Department:	POCT Department
Summary of	The Equality Impact Assessment Questionnaire undertaken
Assessment:	identifies that there is no obvious evidence of any equality
	concerns relating to the UHB Practice Guidelines for
	Healthcare Professionals Overseeing Patient Self-Testing
	Services.
Decision to Proceed	No
to Part B Equality	No Potential Impact Score is 0
	Potential Impact Score is 0
to Part B Equality	-
to Part B Equality	Potential Impact Score is 0 The Equality Impact Assessment Questionnaire research
to Part B Equality	Potential Impact Score is 0 The Equality Impact Assessment Questionnaire research undertaken has identified no obvious evidence of any equality
to Part B Equality	Potential Impact Score is 0 The Equality Impact Assessment Questionnaire research undertaken has identified no obvious evidence of any equality concerns relating to the UHB Practice Guidelines for
to Part B Equality	Potential Impact Score is 0 The Equality Impact Assessment Questionnaire research undertaken has identified no obvious evidence of any equality concerns relating to the UHB Practice Guidelines for Healthcare Professionals Overseeing Patient Self-Testing
to Part B Equality	Potential Impact Score is 0 The Equality Impact Assessment Questionnaire research undertaken has identified no obvious evidence of any equality concerns relating to the UHB Practice Guidelines for Healthcare Professionals Overseeing Patient Self-Testing
to Part B Equality	Potential Impact Score is 0 The Equality Impact Assessment Questionnaire research undertaken has identified no obvious evidence of any equality concerns relating to the UHB Practice Guidelines for Healthcare Professionals Overseeing Patient Self-Testing
to Part B Equality	Potential Impact Score is 0 The Equality Impact Assessment Questionnaire research undertaken has identified no obvious evidence of any equality concerns relating to the UHB Practice Guidelines for Healthcare Professionals Overseeing Patient Self-Testing
to Part B Equality	Potential Impact Score is 0 The Equality Impact Assessment Questionnaire research undertaken has identified no obvious evidence of any equality concerns relating to the UHB Practice Guidelines for Healthcare Professionals Overseeing Patient Self-Testing