

EQIA - UHB Policy for the Control of Legionella

Form 1: Preparation

Part A must be completed at the beginning of a Policy/function/strategy development or review, and for every such occurrence. (Refer to the Step-by-Step Guide for additional information).

Step 1 - Preparation		
1.	Title of Policy - what are you equality impact assessing?	UHB Policy for the Control of Legionella The UHB has a statutory duty to have a Policy and Procedures in place for the control of Legionella.
2.	Policy Aims and Brief Description - what are its aims? Give a brief description of the Policy (The What, Why and How?)	To introduce to the UHB, a structured Procedure and Reporting Schedule, for the Management and Control of Legionellosis including Legionnaires' Disease in compliance with current Guidelines, legislation and Water Supply Regulations.
3.	Who Owns/Defines the Policy? - who is responsible for the Policy/work?	In practice, the responsibility is designated to the Director of Infection Prevention and Control. The Responsible Person (Legionella) and Deputy Responsible Persons (Legionella) accept management responsibility for day to day Legionella control.
4.	Who is Involved in undertaking this EqIA? - who are the key contributors to the EqIA and what are their roles in the process?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Responsible Person (Legionella) / Estate Asset Manager - Director of Infection Prevention and Control - Estate Control Manager - Estate Maintenance Manager
5.	Other Policies - Describe where this Policy/work fits in a wider context. Is it related to any other policies/activities that could be included in this EqIA?	This policy may be seen as being linked to the UHB's overall Health and Safety policy. The policy compliments the overall processes which underpin Health & Safety, Clinical and Corporate actions.

Step 1 - Preparation

6.	Stakeholders - Who is involved with or affected by this Policy?	All staff working within the organisation.
7.	What factors may contribute to the outcomes of the Policy? What factors may detract from the outcomes? These could be internal or external factors.	The main factors that effect the outcome of this policy are: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Managerial – the continued appointment of Responsible Person (Legionella) working in liaison with other specialist advisers.- Training / Awareness – All staff share the responsibility to notify estates of unused water outlets and so legionella awareness and local ownership is a key issue.- Resource – The ongoing effective control of legionella depends on the ongoing availability of the resources required to ensure continued compliance.

	<p>Internet Search Trust Websites Services Provider Webs and Staff UHB Appointed Legionella Consultant</p>										
Welsh Language	<p>No Evidence gathered from the following sources Internet Search Trust Websites Services Provider Webs and Staff UHB Appointed Legionella Consultant</p>		X		X		X		X		
<p>People have a human right to: life; not to be tortured or treated in a degrading way; to be free from slavery or forced labour; to liberty; to a fair trial; not to be punished without legal authority; to respect for private and family life, home and correspondence; to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; to freedom of expression and of assembly; to marry and found a family and to not be discriminated against in relation to any of the rights contained in the European Convention.</p>											
Human Rights	<p>This is a technical policy and does not impact Human Rights. No Evidence gathered from the following sources Internet Search Trust Websites Services Provider Webs and Staff UHB Appointed Legionella Consultant</p>										

* This column relates only to Disability due to the specific requirement in the DDA 2005 to treat disabled people more favourably to achieve equal outcomes. This is not applicable to the other equality strands.

Form 3: Assessment of Relevance and Priority

Equality Strand	Evidence: Existing evidence to suggest some groups affected. Gathered from Step 2. (See Scoring Chart A)	Potential Impact: Nature, profile, scale, cost, numbers affected, significance. Insert one overall score (See Scoring Chart B)	Decision: Multiply 'evidence' score by 'potential impact' score. (See Scoring Chart C)
Race	1	0	0
Disability	1	0	0
Gender	1	0	0
Sexual Orientation	1	0	0
Age	1	0	0
Religion or Belief	1	0	0
Welsh Language	1	0	0
Human Rights	1	0	0

Scoring Chart A: Evidence Available

3	Existing data/research
2	Anecdotal/awareness data only
1	No evidence or suggestion

Scoring Chart B: Potential Impact

-3	High negative
-2	Medium negative
-1	Low negative
0	No impact
+1	Low positive
+2	Medium positive
+3	High positive

Scoring Chart C: Impact Decision

-6 to -9	High Impact (H)
-3 to -5	Medium Impact (M)
-1 to -2	Low Impact (L)
0	No Impact (N)
1 to 9	Positive Impact (P)

FORM 4: (Part A) Outcome Report

Policy Title:	UHB Policy for the Control of Legionella
Organisation:	Cardiff and Vale University Local Health Board
Name: Title: Department:	Jonathan Nettleton Estate Control Manager Capital and Asset Management
Summary of Assessment:	Following the assessment there appears to be little evidence in relation to this type of scheme and its impact on equality issues.
Decision to Proceed to Part B Equality Impact Assessment:	<p style="text-align: center;">No</p> <p>This decision has been taken based on the lack of evidence that indicated that this scheme will have little or no impact on all groups and therefore does not need to proceed to Part B Equality Impact Assessment.</p>

Action Plan

You are advised to use the template below to detail any actions that are planned following the completion of Part A or Part B of the EqIA Toolkit. You should include any remedial changes that have been made to reduce or eliminate the effects of potential or actual adverse impact, as well as any arrangements to collect data or undertake further research.

	Action(s) proposed or taken	Reasons for action(s)	Who will benefit?	Who is responsible for this action(s)?	Timescale
1. What changes have been made as a result of the EqIA?	None				
2. Where a Policy may have differential impact on certain groups, state what arrangements are in place or are proposed to mitigate these impacts?	n/a				

3. Justification: For when a policy may have adverse impact on certain groups, but there is good reason not to mitigate.	n/a				
4. Describe any mitigating actions taken?	n/a				
5. Provide details of any actions planned or taken to promote equality .	n/a				

Date:	13 th December 2011
Monitoring Arrangements:	Review – at six months and a year to ensure that there has been no impact
Review Date:	3 years from approval date or sooner if required.
Signature of all Parties:	