

Finance Committee

24 June 2020, 14:00 to 16:00 Cefn Mably, Woodland House - Skype meeting

Agenda

1.	Preliminaries		
1.1.	Welcome & Introductions		Rhian Thomas
1.2			
1.2.	Apologies for Absence		Rhian Thomas
1.3.	Declarations of Interest		Rhian Thomas
1.4	Minutes of the Committee Meeting held on 27th Mer 2	020	
1.4.	Minutes of the Committee Meeting held on 27th May 2	020	Rhian Thomas
	1.4 UNCONFIRMED MINUTES OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE MAY 2020.pdf	(3 pages)	
1.5.	Action Log		Rhian Thomas
	1.5. Action Log For June 2020 Finance Committee.pdf	(1 pages)	
1.6.	Chairs Action taken since last meeting		Rhian Thomas
2.	Items for Review and Assurance		
2.1.	Finance Risk Register 2020/21		
	(a) Main Risk Register		Andrew Gough
	(b) Dragon's Heart Hospital		
	2.1 Finance Risk Register 2020-21 June 2020.pdf	(2 pages)	
	2.1a Finance Risk Register 2020-21 - Appendix 1.pdf	(6 pages)	
	2.1b Dragons Heart Hospital (DHH) Finance Risk Register 2020-21 - Appendix 2.pdf	(5 pages)	
3.	Items to bring to the attention of the Board		
			Rhian Thomas
4.	Date and time of next Meeting		

Wednesday 29th July 2020 at 2pm, Cefn Mably Meeting Room, Woodland House

UNCONFIRMED MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE HELD ON 27th MAY 2020 CEFN MABLY MEETING ROOM/SKYPE , WOODLAND HOUSE

Present:

Dr Rhian Thomas Charles Janczewski John Union Abigail Harris Andrew Gough Chris Lewis Len Richards Martin Driscoll	RT CJ JU AH AG CL LR MD	Chair, Independent Member – Capital and Estates Interim Board Chair Independent Member - Finance Executive Director of Strategic Planning Assistant Director of Finance Deputy Director of Finance Chief Executive Executive Director of Workforce and Organisational
Nicola Foreman Ruth Walker Steve Curry In Attendance:	NF RW SC	Development Director of Corporate Governance Executive Nurse Director Chief Operating Officer
Secretariat:		
Paul Emmerson	PE	Finance Manager
Apologies: Abigail Harris	AH	Executive Director of Strategic Planning

FC 20/026	WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS	ACTION
	The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting.	
FC 20/027	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	
	Apologies for absence were noted.	
FC 20/028	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	
\$	The Chair invited members to declare any interests in proceedings on the Agenda. None were declared.	
FC 20/029	MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 29 TH APRIL 2020	
* <i>0.</i> · . . · .	The minutes of the meeting held on 29 th April 2020 were reviewed for accuracy and were agreed as a true and accurate record.	

	Resolved – that:	
	The minutes of the meeting held on 29 th April 2020 were approved by the Committee as an accurate record.	
FC 20/030	ACTION LOG FOLLOWING THE LAST MEETING	
	FC 20/008– FINANCE RISK REGISTER - Additional Risk to be added to the Dragon's Heart Hospital (DHH) Risk Register relating to the fact that in many instances there is only a letter of intent in place as opposed to a formal contract.	
	It was confirmed that a risk had been added to DHH Risk Register included in May 2020 papers where a letter of intent is in place as opposed to a formal contract.	
	Action complete.	
	Resolved – that:	
	The Finance Committee received the Action Log.	
FC 20/031	CHAIRS ACTION SINCE THE LAST MEETING	
	There had been no Chairs action taken since the last meeting.	
FC 20/032	FINANCE RISK REGISTER	
	The Assistant Director of Finance (AG) presented the Finance Risk register.	
	The extreme risks were noted as being:	
	 Fin01/20 – Reducing underlying deficit from £11.5m to £4.0m in line with IMTP submission. Fin02/20 – Management of budget pressures. Fin03/20 – Delivery of £29.0m (3.5%) CIP Fin10/20 – COVID-19 impact on financial plan 	
	The Finance Committee was asked to note that the COVID-19 financial plan risk (FIN10/20) was shown at appendix 2 as a sub-set to the main risk register.	
06114 16114 18194 1014 1014 1014 1014 1014 1014 1014 1	It was noted that the mitigation of risks was in part dependent on decisions taken by Welsh Government to support and review plans in place to manage the impact of COVID -19 on UHB services. Picking up on this theme the Director of Finance indicated that the Risk Register would be subject to substantive review as the circumstances around risks changed.	Assistant Director Of Finance
``	The Finance Committee Chair (RT) asked if further detail could be provided on specific actions to mitigate some of the current risks and	

	the Assistant Director of Finance agreed that further detail would be provided to future Committee meetings where actions had been taken. Resolved - that: The Finance Committee noted the risks highlighted in the 2020/21 risk register.The Finance Committee noted the risks highlighted in the Dragon's	
	Heart Hospital sub set risk register.	
FC 20/033	ITEMS TO BEING TO THE ATTENTION OF THE BOARD	
	There were no items to being to the attention of the Board.	
FC 20/034	DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE	
	Wednesday 24 th June ; 2.00pm; Cefn Mably Meeting Room, Ground Floor, HQ, Woodland House	



FINANCE COMMITTEE

ACTION LOG

MINUTE	DATE	SUBJECT	AGREED ACTION	ACTIONED TO	STATUS
FC 20/032	27.05.20	FINANCE RISK REGISTER - To be reviewed with further detail of mitigating actions to be added	It was agreed that the Risk Register would be subject to substantive review as the circumstances around risks changed and that further detail would be provided on specific actions to mitigate some of the current risks	Assistant Director of Finance	Complete – Risk Register reviewed with further detail added in respect of mitigating actions on the Risk Register included in June 2020 papers.





Bwrdd Iechyd Prifysgol Caerdydd a'r Fro Cardiff and Vale University Health Board

Report Title:	Finance Risk R	Finance Risk Register									
Meeting:	Finance Commit	Finance Committee Meeting 24 th June 2020									
Status:	For Discussion	For Assurance	For Approval	For In	formation						
Lead Executive:	Executive Direc	tor of Finance									
Report Author (Title):	Assisstant Director of Finance										
Background and	Background and current situation:										

This report highlights the 2020/21 Finance Risk Register risk categorisation by severity of risk as at 24th June 2020. The detailed 2020/21 risk register is shown in Appendix 1.

Following the most recent review the number of risks identified in each category is shown below:

2020/21 UHB Financial Risks at 24th June 2020

Risk Category	Risk Score	Number of Risks as at 24 June 2020
Extreme Risk	20 - 25	4
High Risk	12 - 16	4
Moderate Risk	4 - 10	2
Low Risk	1 - 3	0

A summary of the **Extreme Risks** are shown below:

Fin01/20 – Reducing underlying deficit from £11.5m to £4.0m in line with IMTP submission.

Fin02/20 – Management of budget pressures.

Fin03/20 - Delivery of £29.0m (3.5%) CIP

Fin10/20 – COVID-19 impact on financial plan

The Finance Committee is asked to note the COVID-19 financial plan risk (FIN10/20). The Dragons Heart Hospital (DHH) COVID-19 is shown in appendix 2 as a sub-set to the main risk register.

Assessment and Risk Implications (Safety, Financial, Legal, Reputational etc:)

The Finance Committee will be kept up to date regarding any additions to the Risk Registers or any change in risk assessment.

1/2



Bwrdd lechyd Prifysgol Caerdydd a'r Fro Cardiff and Vale University Health Board

Recommendation:

The Finance Committee is asked to:

- NOTE the risks highlighted within the 2020/21 risk register
- NOTE the risks highlighted in the Dragons Heart Hospital (DHH) sub set-risk register

Shaping our Future Wellbeing Strategic Objectives

This report should relate to at least one of the UHB's objectives, so please tick the box of the relevant objective(s) for this report

1.	Reduce	healt	h inequalities			6.		ve a planned ca mand and capa			
2.	Deliver of people	outco	mes that matt	er to		7.	Be	a great place to	o worł	and learn	
3.			onsibility for in d wellbeing	nprovinę)	8.	de se	ork better togeth liver care and su ctors, making be ople and techno	uppor est us	t across care	
4.	-	on he	s that deliver t alth our citize pect			9.	 Reduce harm, waste and variation sustainably making best use of the resources available to us 				
5.	care sys	tem t	anned (emerg hat provides f ght place, first	he righ	t	10.	inn pro	cel at teaching, ovation and imp ovide an environ ovation thrives	provei	ment and	
	Fiv	/e Wa		•••				pment Princip for more inform		onsidered	
Prevention Long term Inte					ntegratio	n		Collaboration		Involvement	
Equality andHealth ImpactYes / No / Not ApplicaAssessmentIf "yes" please provideCompleted:report when published					de copy	of th	e as	ssessment. This	s will i	be linked to the	



CARING FOR PEOPLE KEEPING PEOPLE WELL



Bwrdd lechyd Prifysgol Caerdydd a'r Fro Cardiff and Vale University Health Board

2/2

					Initial Ri Rating					ent Ristating	sk					Rati Contr	et Risk ng if rols in ace		
CB/Dir Ref No	Domain	Date Entered onto new CB/Dir/UHB Risk Register	Risk/Issue (Including Impact)	Exec Lead	Impact / Consequence Likelihood	Score	Existing Controls	Assurance	Impact / Consequence	Likelihood	ອີດີ Gaps in Controls ທີ	Gaps in Assurance	Summary of Additional Actions being undertaken	Who	When	Impact / Consequence	- 0	Date of Next Review	Level of assurance required
Fin01/20	Finance	Jan-20	The opening underlying deficit in 20/21 is planned to be £11.5m. The IMTP planned c/f underlying deficit in 2021/22 is £4m.	Director of Finance	54	20	Governance reporting and monitoring arrangements through the Finance Committee and Board	Limited Assurance	5	4	20 Adequate but more Action Required		Progress against the underlying deficit is to be managed by Management Executive.	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	5	4 20	Jul-20	Management
Fin02/20	Finance	Jan-20	Manage Budget pressures	Director of Finance	54	20	The requirement to manage budget pressures clearly communicated to primary budget holders. Standing Financial Instructions set spending limits. Break even plans have been requested from all Clinical Boards. Progress to be reviewed through Executive Performance Reviews with Clinical Boards.	Limited Assurance	5	4	20 Adequate but more Action Required	Plans to address overspending budgets in 2019/20 addressing the risk in 2020/21.	Progress to be monitored and managed by Mangement Executive.	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	5	4 20	Jul-20	Management
Fin03/20	Finance	Jan-20	Deliver 3.5% CIP (£29m)	Director of Finance	5 4	20	3.5% recurrent CIP target clearly communicated to budget holders. CIP tracker in place to monitor weekly progress across the organisation. Health Board Wide Schemes being led by Executive Directors Monthly Financial Clearance Meeting. Executive / Clinical Board Performance Reviews.	Limited Assurance	5	4	20 Adequate but more Action Required	£11.330m savings identified as green or amber against target of £29m as at w/c 17th February 2020. Following COVID-19 impact, £3.002m savings are currently identified as green or amber	Savings tracker updated weekly with WG being kept informed of our progress against savings target through monthly monitoring returns.	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	5	4 20	Jul-20	Management
Fin04/20	Finance	Jan-20	Manage internal investments within £3m envelope	Director of Finance	4 4	16	When Internal investment plan agreed business cases to be approved through the Business Case Approval Group (BCAG)	Reasonable assurance	4	4	16 Adequate but more Action Required	Final investemnt schedule to be agreed	No new revenue or capital business investments should be progressed unless related to the response to COVID-19 or otherwise expressly approved by Welsh Government.	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	4	4 16	Jul-20	Management
Fin05/20	Finance	Jan-20	Commissioning Risks	Director of Finance	3 3	9	Regular performance/LTA meetings with other providers/WHSSC and internal commisioning group.	Reasonable assurance	3	3	9 Adequate but more Action Required	IMTP commisioner / provider sign off and agreement	None	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	3	3 9	Jul-20	Management
Fin06/20	Finance	Feb-20	Management of Nursing overspend	Director of Finance	4 4		Progress to be monitored through Nursing Productivity Group and Executive / Clinical Board Performance Reviews.	Limited Assurance	4	4	16 Adequate but more Action Required	Plans to address overspending budgets in 2019/20 addressing the risk in 2020/21.	Progress on delivery against nursing budgets is to be managed by Management Executive.	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	4	4 16	Jul-20	Management
Fin07/20	Finance	Feb-20	Deliver RTT within resources available	Director of Finance	4 4	16	The UHB will continue to work closely with WG to ensure appropraite resources are made available to maintain progress.	Limited Assurance	4	4	16 Adequate but more Action Required	None	Position will need to be assessed as planned care workstreams come back online.	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	4	4 16	Jul-20	Management
Fin08/20	Finance	Feb-20	Winter pressures managed within available resources	Director of Finance	4 4	16	Winter plan for 2020/21 to be developed in partnership with Local Authorities and signed off by Management Executive	Limited Assurance	4	4	16 Adequate but more Action Required	None	None	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	3	39	Jul-20	Management
Fin09/20	Finance	Feb-20	Cardiac outsourcing	Director of Finance	3 3	9	Potential to need to outsource up to 50 patients at an estimated cost of £0.020m per patient	Reasonable assurance	3	3	9 Adequate but more Action Required	None	None	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	3	39	Jul-20	Management
Fin10/20	Finance	Feb-20	COVID-19 financial plan impact	Director of Finance	54	20	Oversight arrangements in place at Board level and through the command structure. Expenditure Plans developing controlled through COVID-19 Strategic Gold Command and Directors of Operations. Capital and Revenue expenditure to be claimed through WG	Limited Assurance	5	4	20 Adequate but more Action Required	Agreement of plan and funding with WG	 Modelling of anticipated patient flows, and the resultant workforce, equipment and operational requirements is managed through Gold command; Financial modelling and forecasting is co- ordinated on a regular basis; Financial reporting to WG on local costs incurred as a result of COVID-19 to inform central and local scrutiny, feedback and decision making. 	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	5	4 20	Jul-20	Management



Guidance Notes to assist completing the risk register

Remember all risks must have undergone a risk assessment, prior to them being added to the Risk Register

UHB Reference No:- This number will be allocated by the Risk Management Department. Once added this will be communicated back to the Divisions.

Divisional / Directorate Reference No:- Each Division / Directorate should have a unique numbering system for the risks that they enter onto the register. It should contain the initials of the Division, a consecutive number and the year e.g. Mental Health = MH, Children's and Women's = CW, Primary, Community & Intermediate & Older Persons = PCIO, Dental = Den, Diagnostics & Therapeutics = DT, Medicine = M, Surgical Services = SS, Specialist Services = SpS. MH 01/10, SPS 01/10 etc. (Note - as this register is in the developmental stage please advise Melanie Westlake if their are alternative initials to be used).

Previous Reference No:- Whilst the UHB is in the process of consolidating and updating registers it will be necessary to include the previous reference number for audit purposes. This will be populated by the Risk Management Department.

Date entered onto original Register:- as above

Risk / Issue (Including Impact):- The Risk or Issue is the event that could cause an incident or hinder the achievement of objectives. A risk is something that may happen. An issue is already occurring. The impact is the effect that the Risk or Issue will have on the UHB.

Link to UHB Core Objectives:- List here, the main Strategic Goal that links to the risk being assessed.

Existing Controls:- Summarise in bullet form the existing controls to prevent the risk / issue occurring or reduce the impact.

Current Risk Rating:- Assess the current impact on the UHB using Tables 1,2 & 3.

Ranking:- This is the ranking of the risk e.g. The highest risk will score 25 and be ranked at 1, those that score 20 will be ranked at 2 etc.

Adequacy of existing controls:- Indicate how well controlled you feel the risk / issue is i.e. No control, Inadequate controls, Adequate but more action required and Optimum / NFA required.

Summary of Additional Controls Required:- Summarise in bullet form the controls that you know should be introduced to reduce the risk together with resources required.

Target Risk Rating if Controls in Place:- What will be the risk be if the actions proposed to further reduce / eliminate the risk are taken.

Date of Last Review:- When was the Risk Assessment / Control measures last reviewed.

Review completed by:- This should be a senior member of staff for high / medium risk on the register e.g. Divisional Manager / Nurse.

Date of Next Review:- This should be determined by the adequacy of controls and risk score e.g. risks scoring 25 with Inadequate control = monthly, risk scoring 12 with adequate controls but more action required = 6 monthly.

Risk Owner:- Who is the lead for taking the actions proposed relating to this risk. This should be Divisional Director, Board Secretary, Assistant Director etc.

Director Lead:- Who is the lead Director for this risk.

Assuring Committee:- This is the Committee that will monitor / manage the risk on behalf of the UHB Board or the UHB itself e.g. Quality & Safety Committee, Performance Committee.



	Consequence score (severity levels) and ex	amples of descriptors					
	1	2	3	4				
Domains	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic			
Impact on the safety of patients, staff or public (physical/psychologic al harm)	Minimal injury requiring no/minimal intervention or treatment.	Minor injury or illness, requiring minor intervention	Moderate injury requiring professional intervention	Major injury leading to long term incapacity/disability	Incident leading to dea			
	No time off work	Requiring time off work for >3 days	Requiring time off work for 4-14 days	Requiring time off work for >14 days	Multiple permanent injuries or irreversible health effects			
		Increase in length of hospital stay by 1-3 days	Increase in length of hospital stay by 4-15 days	Increase in length of hospital stay by >15 days	An event which impacts on a large number of patients			
			RIDDOR/agency reportable incident	Mismanagement of patient care with long-term effects				
			An event which impacts on a small number of patients					
Quality/complaints/au dit	Peripheral element of treatment or service suboptimal	Overall treatment or service suboptimal	Treatment or service has significantly reduced effectiveness	Non-compliance with national standards with significant risk to patients if unresolved	Totally unacceptable level or quality of treatment/service			
	Informal complaint/inquiry	Formal complaint/ Local resolution	Formal complaint / Local resolution (with potential to go to independent review)		Inquest/ombudsman inquiry Gross failure of patient safety if findings not acted on			
		Single failure to meet internal standards	Repeated failure to meet internal standards	Critical report	Gross failure to meet national standards			
		Minor implications for patient safety if unresolved Reduced performance rating if unresolved	Major patient safety implications if findings are not acted on					
Human resources/ organisational development/staffing/ competence	Short-term low staffing level that temporarily reduces service quality (< 1 day)	Low staffing level that reduces the service quality	Late delivery of key objective/ service due to lack of staff		Non-delivery of key objective/service due to lack of staff			
			Unsafe staffing level or competence (>1 day)	Unsafe staffing level or competence (>5 days)	Ongoing unsafe staffing levels or competence			
			Low staff morale	Loss of key staff	Loss of several key sta			
			Poor staff attendance for mandatory/key professional training	Very low staff morale No staff attending mandatory/ key professional training	No staff attending mandatory training /key professional training on an ongoing basis			
Statutory duty/ inspections	No or minimal impact or breech of guidance/ statutory duty	Breech of statutory legislation	Single breech in statutory duty	Enforcement action	Multiple breeches in statutory duty			
Фгі, - 1. - 2. - 2. - 2. - 2. - 2. - 2. - 2. - 2			Challenging external recommendations/ improvement notice	Multiple breeches in statutory duty	Prosecution			
TO '0, 10. 70.				Improvement prohibition notices Critical report	Complete systems change required Severely critical report			

Adverse publicity/ reputation	Rumours Potential for public concern	Local media coverage – short-term reduction in public confidence Elements of public	Local media coverage – long-term reduction in public confidence	National media coverage with <3 days service well below reasonable public expectation	National media coverage with >3 days service well below reasonable public expectation. MP/AM concerned (questions in the House/Assembly)
		expectation not being met			confidence
Business objectives/ projects	Insignificant cost increase/ schedule slippage	<5 per cent over project budget	5–10 per cent over project budget	Non-compliance with national 10–25 per cent over project budget	Incident leading >25 per cent over project budget
		Schedule slippage	Schedule slippage	Schedule slippage Key objectives not met	Schedule slippage Key objectives not met
Finance including claims	Small loss Risk of claim remote	Loss of 0.1–0.25 per cent of budget	Loss of 0.25–0.5 per cent of budget	Uncertain delivery of key objective/Loss of 0.5–1.0 per cent of budget	Non-delivery of key objective/ Loss of >1 per cent of budget
		Claim less than £10,000	Claim(s) between £10,000 and £100,000	Claim(s) between £100,000 and £1 million	Failure to meet specification/ slippage
				Purchasers failing to pay on time	Loss of contract
					Claim(s) >£1 million
Service/business interruption	Loss/interruption of >1 hour	Loss/interruption of >8 hours	Loss/interruption of >1 day	Loss/interruption of >1 week	Permanent loss of service or facility
Environmental impact	Minimal or no impact on the environment	Minor impact on environment	Moderate impact on environment	Major impact on environment	Catastrophic impact on environment



Likelihood Score (L)

• What is the likelihood of the consequence occurring?

•The frequency based score is appropriate in most circumstances and is easier to identify. It should be used whenever it is possible to identify the frequency at which a risk is likely to occur.
The probability score is more appropriate for risks relating to time limited or one-off projects or business

objectives

Likelihood Score							
Descriptor	1 Rare	2 Unlikely	3 Possible	4 Likely	5 Almost Certain		
Frequency How often does it might it happen	This will probably never happen/ recur	Do not expect it to happen / recur but it is possible it may do so	Might happen or recur occasionally	Will probably happen/recur but it is not a persisting issue	Will undoubtedly happen/recur, possibly frequently		
Probability Will it happen or not? % chance of <u>not</u> meeting objective	<0.1 per cent	0.1-1 per cent	1 -10 per cent	10-50 per cent	>50 per cent		

.



Concoquence	Likelihood Score							
Consequence Score	1 Rare	2 Unlikely	3 Possible	4 Likely	5 Almost certain			
5 - Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25			
4 - Major	4	8	12	16	20			
3 - Moderate	3	6	9	12	15			
2 - Minor	2	4	6	8	10			
1 - Negligible	1	2	3	4	5			

Table 3 - Risk Scoring = Consequence x Likelihood (C x L)

For grading risk, the scores obtained from the risk matrix are assigned grades as follows

1 - 3 = Low Risk	Quick, easy measures implemented immediately and further action planned for when resources permit
4 - 10 = Moderate Risk	Actions implemented as soon as possible but no later than a year
12 - 16 = High Risk	Actions implemented as soon as possible but no later than six months
20 - 25 = Extreme Risk	Requires urgent action. The UHB Board is made aware and it implements immediate corrective action

					Initial Ri Rating				nt Risk ting					Target R Rating Controls Place	if s in	
CB/Dir Ref No	Domain	Date Entered onto new CB/Dir/UHB Risk Register	Risk/Issue (Including Impact)	Exec Lead	Impact / Consequence Likelihood	Existing Controls	Assurance	Impact / Consequence	Gaps in Controls	Gaps in Assurance	Summary of Additional Actions being undertaken	Who	When	Impact / Consequence Likelihood	ວັ Date of Next ອີ Review	Level of assurance required
Fin01/20 DHH	Finance	Apr-20	COVID-19 financial plan impact Dragons Heart Hospital(DHH)	Director of Finance	5 4	Oversight arrangements in place at Board level and through the command structure. Expenditure Plans developing controlled through COVID-19 Strategic Gold Command and Director of Operations Capital and Revenue expenditure to be claimed through WG	Limited Assurance	4	4 16 Adequate but more Actio	Agreement of plan and funding with WG	 Modelling of anticipated patient flows, and the resultant workforce, equipment and operational requirements is managed through Gold command; Financial modelling and forecasting is co- ordinated on a regular basis; Financial reporting to WG on local costs incurred as a result of COVID-19 to inform central and local scrutiny, feedback and decision making. 		Mar-21	4 4	16 Jun-20	Management
Fin02/20 DHH	Finance	Apr-20	Costs exceeding forecast ranges due to unforeseer technical and/or market forces factors	Director of Finance	5 4	Oversight arrangements in place at Board level and through the command structure. Expenditure Plans developing controlled through COVID-19 Strategic Gold Command and Director of Operations Capital and Revenue expenditure to be claimed through WG	Limited Assurance	3	3 9 Adequate but more Actio Required	Agreement of plan and funding with WG	Most initial build costs are now known reducing the risk for this material phase of the project. There is an improved understanding of the ongoing rental components of the project to inform option appraisals for extended stay. Maintenance regimes for stanc by and occupational phases are better understood and have been refined in agreement between contractors and UHB Capital & Estates. Uncertainty remains concerning the cost of decommissioning the DHH although this is a far smaller component of the project cost than the initial build	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	3 3	9 Jun-20	Management
Fin03/20 DHH	Finance	Apr-20	Damage and alteration to the stadium driving reinstatement cost above current project provision	Director of Finance	5 4	Oversight arrangements in place at Board level and through the command structure. Expenditure Plans developing controlled through COVID-19 Strategic Gold Command and Director of Operations Capital and Revenue expenditure to be claimed through WG	Limited Assurance	5	4 20 Adequate but more Actio Required	n Agreement of plan and funding with WG	Exit Strategy Planning is an ongoing key phase of the DHH project overseen by Mott McDonalds. Reinstatement process is a key component of plan. This is being worked through at present (June 2020) in conjunction with the WRU. The obligation for the WRU to work within a 'fair and reasonable cost base for reinstatement is a clause that the UHB is seeking in its WRU licence contract. This contract has not been agreed at present. There is emerging evidence of damage to WRU estate as a result of the pace of build.		Mar-21	4 4	16 Jun-20	Management
Fin04/20 DHH	Finance	Apr-20	Cost of delays in vacating the stadium leading to consequential claim by the WRU and Cardiff Blues	Director of Finance	4 4	Oversight arrangements in place at Board level and through the command structure. Expenditure Plans developing controlled through COVID-19 Strategic Gold Command and Director of Operations Capital and Revenue expenditure to be claimed through WG	Limited Assurance	4	4 16 Adequate but more Actio Required	Agreement of plan and funding with WG	There are multiple variables at play in assessing this risk including the period of DHH tenure within the stadium and the nature of tenure (ic. partial or full). Tenure considerations potentially allow for earlier reinstatement and reduction in consequential loss exposure. The other key variable concern government lockdown policy affecting matches, spectators, conferences and stadium tours. Scenario planning and mitigation strategies are being developed in conjunction with the WRU and the sensitivities and significant financial variables impacting this remain the main complication in finalising the WRU contract.	Assistant Director of Finance	Mar-21	3 3	9 Jun-20	Management
Fin05/20 DHH	Finance	May-20	In many instances there is only a letter of intent in place with contractors as opposed to a formal contract	Director of Finance	4 4	Oversight arrangements in place at Board level and through the command structure. Expenditure Plans developing controlled through COVID-19 Strategic Gold Command and Director of Operations Capital and Revenue expenditure to be claimed through WG		4	4 16 Adequate but more Actio Required	Agreement of plan and funding with WG	Contract negotiations are underway for each of the 4 main contracts (Mott McDonald, ESG WRU and Blues). Each offers a different set of complications which have prevented successful finalisation to date. It is still the UHB intention to successfully conclude all 4 contracts.		Mar-21	3 3	9 Jun-20	Management



Guidance Notes to assist completing the risk register

Remember all risks must have undergone a risk assessment, prior to them being added to the Risk Register

UHB Reference No:- This number will be allocated by the Risk Management Department. Once added this will be communicated back to the Divisions.

Divisional / Directorate Reference No:- Each Division / Directorate should have a unique numbering system for the risks that they enter onto the register. It should contain the initials of the Division, a consecutive number and the year e.g. Mental Health = MH, Children's and Women's = CW, Primary, Community & Intermediate & Older Persons = PCIO, Dental = Den, Diagnostics & Therapeutics = DT, Medicine = M, Surgical Services = SS, Specialist Services = SpS. MH 01/10, SPS 01/10 etc. (Note - as this register is in the developmental stage please advise Melanie Westlake if their are alternative initials to be used).

Previous Reference No:- Whilst the UHB is in the process of consolidating and updating registers it will be necessary to include the previous reference number for audit purposes. This will be populated by the Risk Management Department.

Date entered onto original Register:- as above

Risk / Issue (Including Impact):- The Risk or Issue is the event that could cause an incident or hinder the achievement of objectives. A risk is something that may happen. An issue is already occurring. The impact is the effect that the Risk or Issue will have on the UHB.

Link to UHB Core Objectives:- List here, the main Strategic Goal that links to the risk being assessed.

Existing Controls:- Summarise in bullet form the existing controls to prevent the risk / issue occurring or reduce the impact.

Current Risk Rating:- Assess the current impact on the UHB using Tables 1,2 & 3.

Ranking:- This is the ranking of the risk e.g. The highest risk will score 25 and be ranked at 1, those that score 20 will be ranked at 2 etc.

Adequacy of existing controls:- Indicate how well controlled you feel the risk / issue is i.e. No control, Inadequate controls, Adequate but more action required and Optimum / NFA required.

Summary of Additional Controls Required:- Summarise in bullet form the controls that you know should be introduced to reduce the risk together with resources required.

Target Risk Rating if Controls in Place:- What will be the risk be if the actions proposed to further reduce / eliminate the risk are taken.

Date of Last Review:- When was the Risk Assessment / Control measures last reviewed.

Review completed by:- This should be a senior member of staff for high / medium risk on the register e.g. Divisional Manager / Nurse.

Date of Next Review:- This should be determined by the adequacy of controls and risk score e.g. risks scoring 25 with Inadequate control = monthly, risk scoring 12 with adequate controls but more action required = 6 monthly.

Risk Owner:- Who is the lead for taking the actions proposed relating to this risk. This should be Divisional Director, Board Secretary, Assistant Director etc.

Director Lead:- Who is the lead Director for this risk.

Assuring Committee:- This is the Committee that will monitor / manage the risk on behalf of the UHB Board or the UHB itself e.g. Quality & Safety Committee, Performance Committee.



	Consequence score		amples of descriptors		
Domains	1 Negligible	2 Minor	3 Moderate	4 Major	Catastrophic
Impact on the safety of patients, staff or public (physical/psychologi cal harm)	Minimal injury requiring no/minimal intervention or treatment.	Minor injury or illness, requiring minor intervention	Moderate injury requiring professional intervention	Major Iong-term incapacity/disability	Incident leading to death
	No time off work	Requiring time off work for >3 days	Requiring time off work for 4-14 days	Requiring time off work for >14 days	Multiple permanen injuries or irreversit health effects
		Increase in length of hospital stay by 1-3 days	Increase in length of hospital stay by 4-15 days	Increase in length of hospital stay by >15 days	An event which imp on a large number patients
			RIDDOR/agency reportable incident	Mismanagement of patient care with long- term effects	
			An event which impacts on a small number of patients		
Quality/complaints/a	Peripheral element of		Treatment or service	Non-compliance with	Totally unacceptab
udit	treatment or service suboptimal	service suboptimal	has significantly reduced effectiveness	national standards with significant risk to patients if unresolved	level or quality of treatment/service
	Informal complaint/inquiry	Formal complaint/ Local resolution	Formal complaint / Local resolution (with potential to go to independent review)	Multiple complaints/ independent review	Inquest/ombudsma inquiry Gross failur patient safety if find not acted on
		Single failure to meet internal standards	Repeated failure to meet internal standards	Critical report	Gross failure to me national standards
		Minor implications for patient safety if unresolved Reduced performance rating if unresolved	Major patient safety implications if findings are not acted on		
Human resources/ organisational development/staffing / competence	Short-term low staffing level that temporarily reduces service quality (< 1 day)	Low staffing level that reduces the service quality	Late delivery of key objective/ service due to lack of staff	Uncertain delivery of key objective/service due to lack of staff	Non-delivery of key objective/service du lack of staff
			Unsafe staffing level or competence (>1 day)	Unsafe staffing level or competence (>5 days)	Ongoing unsafe sta levels or competen
			Low staff morale	Loss of key staff	Loss of several key
			Poor staff attendance for mandatory/key professional training	Very low staff morale No staff attending mandatory/ key professional training	
Statutory duty/	No or minimal impact		Single breech in	Enforcement action	Multiple breeches i
inspections	or breech of guidance/ statutory duty	legislation	statutory duty		statutory duty
			Challenging external recommendations/ improvement notice	Multiple breeches in statutory duty Improvement prohibition	Prosecution Complete systems
				notices Critical report	change required Severely critical rep
Adverse publicity/ reputation	Rumours Potential for public concern	Local media coverage – short-term reduction in public confidence	Local media coverage – long-term reduction in public confidence	National media coverage with <3 days service well below reasonable public expectation	National media coverage with >3 d service well below reasonable public expectation. MP/AN concerned (questio the House/Assemb
		Elements of public expectation not being met			Total loss of pu confidence
Business objectives/ projects	Insignificant cost increase/ schedule slippage	<5 per cent over project budget	5–10 per cent over project budget	Non-compliance with national 10–25 per cent over project budget	Incident leading >2 cent over project bu
		Schedule slippage	Schedule slippage	Schedule slippage Key objectives not met	Schedule slippage Key objectives not i
Finance including claims	Small loss Risk of claim remote	Loss of 0.1–0.25 per cent of budget	Loss of 0.25–0.5 per cent of budget	Uncertain delivery of key objective/Loss of 0.5–1.0 per cent of budget	Non-delivery of key objective/ Loss of > cent of budget
Bri.		Claim less than £10,000	Claim(s) between £10,000 and £100,000	Claim(s) between £100,000 and £1 million Purchasers failing to pay	Failure to meet specification/ slippa Loss of contract
18/011				on time	Claim(s) >£1 million
Service/business	Loss/interruption of >1 hour	Loss/interruption of >8 hours	Loss/interruption of >1 day	Loss/interruption of >1 week	Permanent loss of service or facility
		Minor impact on			

Likelihood Score (L)

• What is the likelihood of the consequence occurring?

•The frequency based score is appropriate in most circumstances and is easier to identify. It should be used whenever it is possible to identify the frequency at which a risk is likely to occur.
The probability score is more appropriate for risks relating to time limited or one-off projects or business

objectives

Likelihood Score							
Descriptor	1 Rare	2 Unlikely	3 Possible	4 Likely	5 Almost Certain		
Frequency How often does it might it happen	This will probably never happen/ recur	Do not expect it to happen / recur but it is possible it may do so	Might happen or recur occasionally	Will probably happen/recur but it is not a persisting issue	Will undoubtedly happen/recur, possibly frequently		
Probability Will it happen or not? % chance of <u>not</u> meeting objective	<0.1 per cent	0.1-1 per cent	1 -10 per cent	10-50 per cent	>50 per cent		

.



Concoquence	Likelihood Score							
Consequence Score	1 Rare	2 Unlikely	3 Possible	4 Likely	5 Almost certain			
5 - Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25			
4 - Major	4	8	12	16	20			
3 - Moderate	3	6	9	12	15			
2 - Minor	2	4	6	8	10			
1 - Negligible	1	2	3	4	5			

Table 3 - Risk Scoring = Consequence x Likelihood (C x L)

For grading risk, the scores obtained from the risk matrix are assigned grades as follows

1 - 3 = Low Risk	Quick, easy measures implemented immediately and further action planned for when resources permit
4 - 10 = Moderate Risk	Actions implemented as soon as possible but no later than a year
12 - 16 = High Risk	Actions implemented as soon as possible but no later than six months
20 - 25 = Extreme Risk	Requires urgent action. The UHB Board is made aware and it implements immediate corrective action